arXiv:1006.1050v3 [math.AT] 15 Aug 2010

On the ku-homology of certain classifying spaces.

Leticia Zárate

Abstract

We calculate the ku-homology of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$. We prove that for these groups the ku-homology contains all the complex bordism information. We construct a set of generators of the annihilator of the ku-toral class. These elements also generates the annihilator of the BP-toral class.

Key words and phrases: Conner-Floyd conjecture.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 19L41.
Proposed running head: On the $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ Conner-Floyd conjecture.

1 Introduction.

It is a well known fact that for any prime number p, the BP-homology of a p-local space X contains all the complex bordism information of X. Indeed, we have the Quillen's splitting theorem: $MU_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \left(MU_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\right) \otimes_{BP_*} BP_*(X)$.

In particular we can take X to be the classifying space of a p-group of the form $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. We conjecture that for this kind of groups, the local connective complex K-theory, here denoted by ku, contains all the complex bordism information.

More precisely, we have the algebraic inclusions: $BP_* \hookleftarrow BP\langle 1\rangle_* \hookrightarrow ku_*$. Here $BP\langle 1\rangle_*$, BP_* and ku_* are the coefficient rings of the respective homology theories.

In this work we prove that for the groups $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $G_2 = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ there exists a set of generators of the annihilator of the ku-toral class that are elements of the subring $BP\langle 1\rangle_*$. G. Nakos [1] proved that this elements are also a generating set of the annihilator of the BP_*-toral class.

We prove that the annihilator ideal of the ku_*-toral class in $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ is generated by:

$$(p^2, pv^{p-1}, v^{(p-1)(p+2)}).$$

In [1], G. Nakos proved that the BP_*-annihilator of the BP-toral class of the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ is generated by:

$$(p^2, pv_1, v_1^{p+2}).$$

In this sense, the ku-homology contains all the complex bordism information.

2 Preliminaries.

Let ku be the connective complex K-theory spectrum and let v be a generator of

$$\pi_2(ku) \simeq \mathbb{Z}.$$

If we consider the canonical orientation:

$$x = \frac{(1-L)}{v} \in ku^2(\mathbb{CP}^\infty),$$

where L is the tautological bundle, the resulting Formal Group Law F is given by:

$$x +_F y = x + y - vxy. \tag{1}$$

For a fixed prime number p we consider the p-localization of ku (here also denoted by ku) and denote by v and x the images of the canonical generator and the canonical orientation. We have:

$$\pi_*(ku) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v].$$

In this local spectrum ku, the element x determines a Formal Group Law, here also denoted by F, which is again given by the formula (1).

For any natural number n we have the formal power series (that is in fact a polynomial):

$$[p^n](x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p^n-1} a_{k,n} x^{k+1}$$

where $a_{k,n} = \binom{p^n}{k+1} v^k$. We will omit the dependence on n of these coefficients in the notation since it will be clear from the context.

From here we will use the reduced version of ku_* without further comments. We will denote by $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$ the reduced ku-homology of the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$.

It is a well known fact that for any prime number p and any natural number n, the group $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$ is generated by elements

$$e_i \in ku_{2i-1}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$$

for $i \geq 1$ subject to the relations imposed by the $[p^n]$-series. That is,

$$ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} ku_* e_i \,/\langle [p^n] \rangle$$

Here $\langle [p^n] \rangle$ is the ku-submodule of $\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} ku_* e_i$ generated by:

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{p^n-1} a_k e_{i-k} \,|\, e_j = 0 \text{ for } j \leq 0 \right\}.$$

From the definition of the a_k's we note that those elements with $k+1$ a power of p are of special interest since

$$\nu_p \binom{p^n}{k+1} = n - \nu_p(k+1),$$

where ν_p is the usual p-valuation, therefore it is natural to have a special notation for these elements. For any a natural number i we define

$$g_i = p^i - 1;$$

so a_{p^i-1} will be denoted by a_{g_i}.

3 Annihilator of the toral class.

The element $e_{1,n} \in ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$ (the bottom class) is the so called toral class. In the group $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^t})$ we also have a toral class τ, that comes from the canonical map $\mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$.

We have the Künneth map

$$\kappa \colon ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}) \longrightarrow ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}).$$

The image of the product of the toral classes $e_{1,n} \otimes e_{1,t}$ under this map is τ. Since this map is injective we have:

$$ann_{ku_*}(e_{1,n} \otimes e_{1,t}) = ann_{ku_*}(\tau).$$

One of the goals of this work is to calculate $ann_{ku_*}(\tau)$ for the groups $\mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$. In [1], G. Nakos proved that the BP_*-annihilator of the BP-toral class of the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ is generated by:

$$(p, v_1^n).$$

Nakos also proved that the BP_*-annihilator of the BP-toral class of the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ is generated by:

$$(p^2, pv_1, v_1^{p+2}).$$

Recall that the coefficient ring $BP\langle 1\rangle_* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1]$ is a subring of $ku_* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v]$, with $v_1 = v^{p-1}$ (since the spectrum ku is a wedge sum of $p-1$ suspended copies of $BP\langle 1\rangle$). When $p = 2$ we have an equality $BP\langle 1\rangle = ku$ (as homology theories), and we have proved in [2] that the ku-annihilator of the toral class of the group $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ is given by:

$$(4, 2v, v^4)$$

We have by Nakos' work that it is a also a set of generators of the BP-annihilator of BP-toral class of $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$.

On the other hand $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$ has a direct sum decomposition, since we have the Landweber split short exact sequence:

$$0 \to ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}) \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \to ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \to \sum \mathrm{Tor}_1^{ku_*}(ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}), ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})) \to 0. \tag{2}$$

Since as we will see in the next section we can approximate the first and the third term of this short exact sequence by an spectral sequence; the annihilator of the toral class contains all the information of the group $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$. This is the reason because we say that ku contains all the complex bordism information when the ku-annihilator of the ku-toral class has a set of generators that also generates the BP-annihilator of the BP-toral class.

4 The spectral sequence.

In this section we construct a spectral sequence that will give, up to extensions, the group $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$. We have a conjecture about the behavior of this spectral sequence in the general case; in this work we prove the conjecture for the cases $t > 1$, $n = 1$ and $t = 2 = n$.

We fix some notation. Let F the free ku_*-module in generators α_i's for $i \geq 1$, that is,

$$F = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} ku_* \alpha_i.$$

For fixed natural numbers $n \geq 1$ and $t \geq n$ consider the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_t \colon F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) & \longrightarrow & F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \\ & & \\ \alpha_i \otimes e_j & \longrightarrow & \sum\limits_{k=0}^{p^t-1} a_k \alpha_{i-k} \otimes e_j \end{array}$$

where $\alpha_h = 0$ if $h \leq 0$. Here $a_k \in ku_*$ are the coefficients of the $[p^t]$-series. Note that

$$\mathrm{coker}(\partial_t) = ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}) \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}),$$

since ∂_t imposes the relations of the $[p^t]$-series in the first factor.

We consider the chain complex:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \longrightarrow F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{3}$$

where the only non trivial map is given by ∂_t.

Note that every element of the module $F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$ has a unique expression modulo p^n, therefore we can define a filtration:

- $|c| = 0$ for $c \in ku_*$.
- $|\alpha_i| = p^t + 1$.
- $|e_j| = p^{t-1} + 1$.

We will denote by $c[i,j] = c\,\alpha_i \otimes e_j$ for any $c \in ku_*$.

Conjeture 4.1 *In the spectral sequence associated to the chain complex* (3), *with the defined filtration, there exist only n families of differentials given by:*

$$p^k[i,j] \longrightarrow p^{n-k-1}v^{h(k)}[i - g_k, j - (t-n+1)g_{k+1} + (t-n)g_k].$$

Here $h(k) = (t-n+1)g_{k+1} - (t-n-1)g_k$ *and* $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

In this work we will prove this conjecture for the cases $n = 1, t > 1$ and $n = 2 = t$. We have significative advances on the proof of this Conjecture in the cases $n = 2$, $t > 2$ and $n = t$ for a natural number $n > 2$. The main problem in the general case is to prove that the elements:

$$p^k[i,j] \;\text{ with }\; i \leq g_k \;\text{ or }\; j \leq (t-n+1)g_{k+1} - (t-n)g_k$$

are permanent cycles. This problem does not appear in the case $n = 1$. For the case $n = 2 = t$ we prove by hand calculation that the element $[g_2 + g_1 - 1, g_1]$ is a permanent cycle, and as we will see it will be sufficient.

5 Relations in $F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$.

In this section we will prove some technical Lemmas that will be the tools to prove the Conjecture 4.1 in the cases we are dealing with in this work. We begin defining the algebraic Smith morphisms.

For any ordered pair of natural numbers (i,j) with $i, j \geq 0$ we have the Smith morphism $\phi_{i,j}$ given by:

$$\begin{tikzcd} F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \arrow[r, "\phi_{i,j}"] & F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \\ {[a,b]} \arrow[r] & {[a-i, b-j].} \end{tikzcd}$$

Note that these morphisms are compatible with ∂_t, that is, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \arrow[r, "\phi_{i,j}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_t"'] & F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \arrow[d, "\partial_t"] \\
F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \arrow[r, "\phi_{i,j}"] & F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

As a consequence we have that for any element $S = \sum_{i\geq 0} c_i\,[a_i, b_i] \in \text{Im}\ \partial_t$ and any ordered pair of natural numbers (i, j) the element $S_{i,j} = \phi_{i,j}(S)$ is also in Im ∂_t. Moreover if

$$\partial_t\left(\sum_{k\geq 0} c_k\,[a'_k, b'_k]\right) = S$$

with higher filtration term given by $c_0\,[a'_0, b'_0]$, then

$$\partial_t\left(\sum_{k\geq 0} c_k\,[a'_k - i, b'_k - j]\right) = S_{i,j}.$$

That is, $S_{i,j}$ is the image under ∂_t of lower filtration terms than $c_0\,[a'_0, b'_0]$.

Lemma 5.1 *In $F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$, the elements $p^n[a, b]$ with $1 \leq b \leq g_1$ are zero.*

Proof: We proceed by induction on b. Recall that the ku_*-module $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$ is given by:

$$\bigoplus_{i\geq 1} ku_* e_i \,/\langle [p^n]\rangle\ ,$$

therefore for $b = 1$ we have that

$$p^n[a, 1] = p^n\alpha_a \otimes e_1 = \alpha_a \otimes (p^n e_1) = 0,$$

since the second factor is a relation in $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$ because $a_0 = p^n$. Suppose we have proved the assertion for $1 \leq b < g_1$, therefore we have

$$p^n[a, b+1] = -\sum_{i=1}^{b} a_i[a, b+1-i].$$

Note that the coefficient a_i is divisible by p^n for $0 \leq i < g_1$; this implies, by the inductive hypothesis, that $p^n[a, b+1] = 0$. □

For the $[p^2]$-series we have that:

$$\begin{array}{ll} a_i = w_i p^2 v^i & \text{for } i+1 \text{ not divisible by } p. \\ a_{kp-1} = u_k p v^{kp-1} & \text{for } k \le p. \end{array}$$

Here w_i and u_k are units in ku_*, with $w_0 = 1$ and $u_p = 1$.

In some results we will need to have total knowledge of the coefficients in the formulas we are dealing with, at least for the "*high*" filtration terms and for those terms that are not p-divisible. For this purposes we define the following polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

For $0 \le k \le p-2$ we define $q_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ as:

$$q_0 = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad q_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = -\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_{k-i} q_i(x_1, \dots, x_i) \quad \text{for} \quad k \ge 1.$$

For $0 \le k \le p-2$ and $1 \le n \le p-2$ we define $q_k^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ as:

$$q_k^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \begin{cases} -\sum\limits_{i=0}^{n} x_{k-i} q_i(x_1, \dots, x_i) & \text{if} \quad n \le k-2 \\ \\ q_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) & \text{if} \quad n \ge k-1. \end{cases}$$

We will denote by $q_k^{(n)} = q_k^{(n)}(w_1, \dots, w_k)$.

Lemma 5.2 *For $2 \le k \le p+1$ the following equality holds in $F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$.*

$$p^2[a, kg_1] = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} u_1 q_i p v^{g_1+i}[a, (k-1)g_1 - i] + \sum_{t=0}^{(k-2)g_1} z_{t,k} p v^{2g_1+t}[a, (k-2)g_1 - t].$$

Here $z_{t,k} \in ku_*$ are coefficients possibly multiples of p.

Proof: We proceed by induction. For $k = 2$, we will prove by induction that for $1 \le n \le p-3$ the following equality holds:

$$p^2[a, 2g_1] = -\sum_{i=n+1}^{p-2} q_i^{(n)} v^i p^2[a, 2g_1 - i] + \sum_{i=0}^{n} u_1 q_i p v^{g_1+i}[a, g_1 - i] \tag{4}$$

From the relation imposed in the second factor, we have:

$$p^2[a, 2g_1] = -\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} w_i p^2 v^i [a, 2g_1 - i] - u_1 p v^{g_1}[a, g_1].$$

The first sumand of the previous equality gives:

$$-w_1p^2v[a,2g_1-1]=\sum_{i=1}^{p-3}w_1w_ip^2v^{i+1}[a,2g_1-(i+1)]+u_1w_1pv^{g_1+1}[a,g_1-1].$$

Note that the last terms of the relations above don't appear by Lemma 5.1. Mixing both relations we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}p^2[a,2g_1] &= \sum_{i=2}^{p-2}(w_1w_{i-1}-w_i)p^2v^i[a,2g_1-i]-u_1pv^{g_1}[a,g_1]+u_1w_1pv^{g_1+1}[a,g_1-1]\\ &= -\sum_{i=2}^{p-2}q_i^{(1)}p^2v^i[a,2g_1-i]+\sum_{i=0}^{1}u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[a,g_1-i]\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the equality (4) has been proved for $1\leq n\leq p-4$, therefore $p^2[a,2g_1]$ is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}&-\sum_{i=n+2}^{p-2}q_i^{(n)}p^2v^i[a,2g_1-i]+\sum_{i=0}^{n}u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[a,g_1-i]\\ &+\sum_{i=1}^{g_1-n-2}w_iq_{n+1}p^2v^{n+i+1}[a,2g_1-(n+i+1)]+u_1q_{n+1}v^{g_1+n+1}[a,g_1-(n+1)].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $p^2[a,2g_1]=-\sum\limits_{i=n+2}^{p-2}q_i^{(n+1)}p^2v^i[a,2g_1-i]+\sum\limits_{i=0}^{n+1}u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[a,g_1-i]$. So we have that:

$$p^2[a,2g_1]=-q_{p-2}p^2[a,g_1+1]+\sum_{i=0}^{p-3}u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[a,g_1-i]=\sum_{i=0}^{p-2}u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[a,g_1-i],$$

and the result follows for $k=2$.

Now we suppose that the result is valid for $2\leq k\leq p$. From the relation imposed in the second factor we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}p^2[a,(k+1)g_1]= &-\sum_{i=1}^{p-2}w_ip^2v^i[a,(k+1)g_1-i]-u_1pv^{g_1}[a,kg_1]\\ &-\sum_{i=p}^{2p-2}w_ip^2v^i[a,(k+1)g_1-i]-u_2pv^{2p-1}[a,(k-1)g_1-1]\\ &+\cdots+\\ &-\sum_{i=(k-1)p}^{kp-2}w_ip^2v^i[a,(k+1)g_1-i]-u_kpv^{kp-1}[a,p-k].\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

Note that when $k = p$ the last summand does not appear since the second coordinate is zero; also note that when $k < p$ the terms corresponding to $i > kp - 1$ are zero by Lemma 5.1. We have that the first element in the previous equality is given by $-w_1p^2v[a,(k+1)g_1 - 1]$, and it is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} w_1w_ip^2v^{i+1}[a,(k+1)g_1-(i+1)] + u_1w_1pv^p[a,kg_1-1]\\
+\ &\sum_{i=p}^{2p-2} w_1w_ip^2v^{i+1}[a,(k+1)g_1-(i+1)] + u_2w_1pv^{2p}[a,(k-1)g_1-2] + \cdots +\\
+\ &\sum_{i=(k-1)p}^{kp-2} w_1w_ip^2v^{i+1}[a,(k+1)g_1-(i+1)] + u_kw_1pv^{kp}[a,p-(k+1)].
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

We apply the inductive formula to the element $w_1w_{p-2}p^2v^{g_1}[a,kg_1]$ that appears in (6). Also we apply this formula (up to a Smith morphism) to the elements $-w_ip^2v^i[a,(k+1)g_1-i]$ that appears in (5) and to the corresponding elements $w_1w_ip^2v^{i+1}[a,(k+1)g_1-(i+1)]$ that appears in (6). Here $p \le i \le 2p-2$. Since all the elements in this formulas are divisible by p, replacing (5) in (6) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
p^2[a,(k+1)g_1] = &\sum_{i=2}^{p-2}(w_{i-1}w_1 - w_i)p^2v^i[a,(k+1)g_1-i] - u_1pv^{g_1}[a,kg_1]\\
+\ &u_1w_1pv^p[a,kg_1-1] + \sum_{t=0}^{(k-1)g_1} y_tpv^{2g_1+t}[a,(k-1)g_1-t].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same inductive argument that we applied for the case $k = 2$, we can prove that for $1 \le n \le p-3$

$$\begin{aligned}
p^2[a,(k+1)g_1] = &-\sum_{i=n+1}^{p-2} q_i^{(n)}v^ip^2[a,(k+1)g_1-i] + \sum_{i=0}^{n} u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[a,kg_1-i]\\
+\ &\sum_{t=0}^{(k-1)g_1} y_tpv^{2g_1+t}[a,(k-1)g_1-t].
\end{aligned}$$

Here the coefficients y_t are not necessarily the same in different formulas. Note that we also applied the inductive formula for $p^2[a,kg_1]$ (up to a Smith morphism).

Therefore we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p^2[a,(k+1)g_1] = \quad & -q_{p-2}p^2v^{p-2}[a,kg_1+1] + \sum_{i=0}^{p-3} u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[a,kg_1-i] \\ & + \sum_{t=0}^{(k-1)g_1} y_tpv^{2g_1+t}[a,(k-1)g_1-t]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain that the element $p^2[a,(k+1)g_1]$ is equal to:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[a,kg_1-i] + \sum_{t=0}^{(k-1)g_1} z_{t,k+1}pv^{2g_1+t}[a,(k-1)g_1-t].$$

and the Lemma is proved. $\square$

Note that in the previous Lemma we did not take care of the coefficients $z_{t,k+1}$, since as we will see, the "*low*" filtration and the p-divisibility of the terms involved in the second sum will be enough to manipulate them.

Lemma 5.3 *In the ku_*-module $F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ the following equality holds.*

$$\begin{aligned} p^2[a,g_1+g_2] = \quad & -u_1pv^{g_1}[a,g_2] + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[a,g_2-i] \\ & + \sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} z_tpv^{2g_1+t}[a,pg_1-t] + \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} q_kv^{g_2+k}[a,g_1-k]. \end{aligned}$$

Here $q_i = q_i(w_1,\ldots,w_i)$ and $z_t \in ku_*$ is a coefficient possibly multiple of p.

Proof: It is not difficult to verify that $p^2[a,g_1+g_2]$ is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{p-2}(-w_k+w_1w_{k-1})p^2v^k[a,g_1+g_2-k] - u_1pv^{g_1}[a,g_2] + w_1u_1pv^p[a,g_2-1] \\ + \quad & w_1w_{p-2}p^2v^{g_1}[a,g_2] - w_pp^2v^p[a,g_2-1] + \sum_{k=p+1}^{2p-2}(-w_k+w_1w_{k-1})p^2v^k[a,g_1+g_2-k] \\ + \quad & (-u_2+w_1w_{2g_1}p)pv^{2p-1}[a,pg_1-1] + (-w_{2p}p+w_1u_2)pv^{2p}[a,pg_1-2] + \cdots + \\ + \quad & \sum_{k=pg_1+1}^{g_2-1}(-w_k+w_1w_{k-1})p^2v^k[a,g_2+g_1-k] - v^{g_2}[a,g_1] + w_1v^{p^2}[a,g_1-1] \\ + \quad & w_1w_{g_2-1}p^2v^{g_2}[a,g_1]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last term is zero by Lemma 5.1. Now we apply Lemma 5.2 to the element $w_1 w_{p-2} p^2 v^{g_1}[a, g_2]$ and (up to Smith morphisms) to the expression:

$$-w_p p^2 v^p [a, g_2 - 1] + \sum_{k=p+1}^{2p-2} (-w_k + w_1 w_{k-1}) p^2 v^k [a, g_1 + g_2 - k]$$

and we obtain that $p^2[a, g_1 + g_2]$ is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=2}^{p-2} (-w_k + w_1 w_{k-1}) p^2 v^k [a, g_1 + g_2 - k] - u_1 p v^{g_1}[a, g_2] + u_1 w_1 p v^p [c, g_2 - 1] \\ +\ &\sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} z_t p v^{2g_1 + t}[a, pg_1 - t] - v^{g_2}[a, g_1] + w_1 v^{p^2}[a, g_1 - 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We will prove by induction that for $1 \le n \le p-2$ the following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} p^2[a, g_1 + g_2] = \ & -\sum_{k=n+1}^{p-2} q_k^{(n)} p^2 v^k [a, g_1 + g_2 - k] + \sum_{i=0}^{n} u_1 q_i p v^{g_1 + i}[a, g_2 - i] \\ & + \sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} z_t p v^{2g_1 + t}[a, pg_1 - t] + \sum_{k=0}^{n} q_k p v^{g_2 + k}[a, g_1 - k]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q_0 = -1$ and $q_1 = w_1$, the beginning of the inductive process is just the formula (7), because $q_k^{(1)} = w_k - q_1 w_{k-1} = w_k - w_1 w_{k-1}$.

Suppose the assertion has been proved for $2 \le n \le p-3$. We have that the element $q_{n+1}^{(n)} p^2 v^{n+1}[a, g_1 + g_2 - (n+1)]$ is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} q_{n+1} w_i p^2 v^{n+i+1}[a, g_1 + g_2 - (n+i+1)] - u_1 q_{n+1} p v^{n+p}[a, g_2 - (n+1)] \\ &-\sum_{i=p}^{2p-2} q_{n+1} w_i p^2 v^{n+i+1}[a, g_1 + g_2 - (n+i+1)] - u_2 q_{n+1} p v^{2p+n}[a, pg_1 - (n+2)] \\ &+\ A - q_{n+1} v^{p^2+n}[a, g_1 - (n+1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Here $A = \sum_{i=2p}^{p^2-2} c_i p v^{n+i+1}[a, g_1 + g_2 - (n+i+1)]$, where $c_i \in ku_*$ are coefficients possibly multiple of p. Now note that each term in the sum:

$$\sum_{i=p}^{2p-2} q_{n+1} w_i p^2 v^{n+i+1}[a, g_1 + g_2 - (n+i+1)]$$

is a Smith morphism image of $p^2[a, g_2]$ and we can apply Lemma 5.2. On the other hand, we have for $k \geq n+1$ the equality: $-q_k^{(n)} + w_{k-(n+1)}q_{n+1} = -q_k^{(n+1)}$, therefore the assertion is valid. So we have:

$$p^2[a, g_1+g_2] = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} u_1 q_i p v^{g_1+i}[a, g_2-i] + \sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} z_t p v^{2g_1+t}[a, pg_1-t] + \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} q_k v^{g_2+k}[a, g_1-k].$$

□

Remark 5.4 Note that in the previous Lemma we take care of the coefficients of the first and the third sums since the terms of the first one has "*high*" filtration and we don't know if the terms of the third one are p-divisible.

6 Calculating the differentials.

In this section we will consider the map $\partial\colon F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}) \longrightarrow F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ given by the $[p^2]$-series:

$$\partial([i, j]) = \sum_{k=0}^{p^2-1} a_k [i-k, j].$$

Here the $a_k \in ku_*$ are the coefficients of the $[p^2]$-series and we will use the filtration defined in section 4. Since each element of $F \otimes_{ku_*} ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ has a unique expression modulo p^2, we define:

$$|c\,[i, j]| = i(p^2+1) + j(p+1)$$

for any non zero element $c \in ku_*$.

Proposition 6.1 *We have up to units:*

a) $\partial([1, p]) = pv^{g_1}[1, 1]$.

b) $\partial(p[p, p^2] +$ *low* $) = v^{g_1+g_2}[1, 1]$.

Here low stands for lower filtration terms.

Proof: We have by definition $\partial([1, p]) = p^2[1, p]$, but

$$p^2[1, p] = -\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} w_i p^2 v^i [1, p-i] - u_1 p v^{g_1}[1, 1] = -u_1 p v^{g_1}[1, 1].$$

Since for $1 \leq i \leq p-2$ the term $p^2 v^i [1, p-i] = 0$ by Lemma 5.1. This proves a).

We are going to prove that we can find an expression of the form $p[p,p^2]+$ *low* in such a way that its image under ∂ is of the form $A-u_1v^{g_1+g_2}[1,1]$. Here A will be a sum of terms $a[i,j]$ divisible by pv^{g_1} in such a way that adding a suitable element on the image of ∂ we can replace each $a[i,j]$ with lower filtration terms that are also divisible by pv^{g_1}. We will be careful with the filtration of the terms whose images are used to replace the elements $a[i,j]$.

We assert that $pv^{g_1}[p,j]\in \operatorname{Im}\partial$ if $j\leq g_1^2$. Indeed, since $j\leq g_1^2$ then $j+g_1\leq pg_1$, therefore by Lemma 5.2 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial([p,j+g_1]) &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} w_kp^2v^k[p-k,j+g_1]+u_1pv^{g_1}[1,j+g_1]\\
&= -u_1\sum_{k=0}^{p-2} w_kpv^{g_1+k}[p-k,j]+\sum_{t=1}^{j-1}\sum_{k=0}^{p-2} w_kz_tpv^{g_1+k+t}[p-k,j-t]\\
&\quad +u_1pv^{g_1}[1,j+g_1].
\end{aligned}$$

We have that $u_1pv^{g_1}[1,j+g_1]$ is in the image of ∂. Indeed, $\partial([1,j+2g_1])$ is equal to:

$$-u_1pv^{g_1}[1,j+g_1]+\sum_{t=1}^{j+g_1} z_tpv^{g_1+t}[1,j+g_1-t],$$

since $j+2g_1\leq g_2$. A Smith morphism argument proves that for suitable coefficients $c_t\in ku_*$ we have:

$$\partial([1,j+2g_1])+\sum_{t=1}^{j+g_1-1}\partial(c_t[1,j+2g_1-t])=-u_1pv^{g_1}[1,j+g_1].$$

Therefore we can replace $pv^{g_1}[p,j]$ with elements of the form $c\,pv^{g_1}[i,k]$ with $i\leq p$ and $k\leq j$ and $c\in ku_*$. Since these elements are Smith morphism images of $pv^{g_1}[p,j]$, we have that $pv^{g_1}[p,j]\in \operatorname{Im}\partial$. Note that all the elements involved in this process are of lower filtration than $[p,p^2]$.

Applying Lemma 5.3 (up to a Smith morphism) we have:

$$p^3[a,p^2]=p\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[a,pg_1-i+1]+\sum_{t=0}^{g_1^2} z_tpv^{2g_1+t}[a,g_1^2-t+1]-v^{g_2}[a,1]\right].$$

By Lemma 5.2 applied to the first and second sums we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} p^3[a,p^2] &= u_1^2pv^{2g_1}[a,g_1^2+1]+\sum_{t=1}^{g_1^2} z'_tpv^{2g_1+t}[a,g_1^2+1-t] \\ &+\sum_{t=0}^{(p-2)g_1} z''_tpv^{3g_1+t}[a,(p-2)g_1+1-t]-pv^{g_2}[a,1] \\ &= u_1^2pv^{2g_1}[a,g_1^2+1]+\sum_{t=1}^{g_1^2} y_tpv^{2g_1+t}[a,g_1^2+1-t]-pv^{g_2}[a,1]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have that $\partial(p[p,p^2])$ is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} &u_1^2pv^{2g_1}[p,g_1^2+1]+\sum_{t=1}^{g_1^2} y_tpv^{2g_1+t}[p,g_1^2+1-t]-pv^{g_2}[p,1] \\ &+\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1^2w_ipv^{2g_1+i}[p-i,g_1^2+1]+\sum_{i=1}^{p-2}\sum_{t=1}^{g_1^2} w_iy_tpv^{2g_1+i+t}[p-i,g_1^2+1-t] \\ &-\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} w_ipv^{g_2+i}[p-i,1]+u_1p^2v^{g_1}[1,p^2]. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Also we have the equality:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1p^2v^{g_1}[1,p^2] &= -u_1^2pv^{2g_1}[1,p^2-g_1]+\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1^2q_ipv^{2g_1+i}[1,p^2-(g_1+i)] \\ &+\sum_{t=0}^{g_1^2+2} u_1z_tpv^{3g_1+t}[1,p^2-(2g_1+t)]-u_1v^{g_1+g_2}[1,1]. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

On the other hand $\partial(u_1v^{g_1}[p,p^2-g_1])$ is by definition:

$$\begin{aligned} &u_1v^{g_1}p^2[p,p^2-g_1]+\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1w_ip^2v^{g_1+i}[p-i,p^2-g_1]+u_1^2v^{2g_1}p[1,p^2-g_1] \\ = \quad &-u_1^2pv^{2g_1}p[p,p^2-2g_1]-\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1^2w_ipv^{2g_1+i}p[p-i,p^2-2g_1] \\ &+\sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{t=1}^{g_1^2} z_{t,i}w_ipv^{2g_1+t+i}[p-i,g_1^2-t+1]+u_1^2pv^{2g_1}[1,p^2-g_1] \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

.

We replace (9) in (8) and add with (10). We use the fact that the elements of the form $pv^{g_1}[i,j]$ with $i \leq p$ and $j \leq g_1^2$ are image under ∂ of elements of filtration lower than $p(p^2+1)+p^2(p+1)$ to obtain:

$$\partial(p[p,p^2]+ \mathit{low}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1^2 q_i p v^{2g_1+i}[1, p^2-(g_1+i)] + u_1 z_0 p v^{3g_1}[1, g_1^2+1] - u_1 v^{g_1+g_2}[1,1],$$

since $p^2 - 2g_1 = g_1^2 + 1$. We have proved that $pv^{g_1}[1, pg_1] \in \operatorname{Im}\partial$. On the other hand, we have:

$$p^2 - (g_1+i) \leq pg_1 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq p-2$$

and $g_1^2 + 1 < pg_1$. Therefore b) is proved. $\square$

Remark 6.2 Note that the previous proposition gives potential differentials in the spectral sequence we are dealing with:

$$d_{\mu_1}\colon \ [i,j] \quad \longrightarrow \quad pv^{g_1}[i, j-g_1]$$

$$d_{\mu_2}\colon \ p[i,j] \quad \longrightarrow \quad v^{g_1+g_2}[i-g_1, j-g_2].$$

Here $\mu_1 = g_2$ and $\mu_2 = g_1(p^2+1) + g_2(p+1)$. It is not difficult to prove that there can not exist differentials longer than μ_2. Therefore to prove that d_{μ_1} and d_{μ_2} are in fact the only families of differentials in our spectral sequence, it is enough to prove that the element $[g_1+g_2-1, g_1]$ is a permanent cycle.

Easy consequences of Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 are the following results.

Corollary 6.3 *For a natural number* $0 \leq n \leq p+1$ *let denote by* $\alpha_n = (p+2-n)g_1$. *For* $1 \leq k \leq p$ *we have that* $\partial([\alpha_k - 1, (k+1)g_1])$ *is equal to:*

$$-\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} u_1 w_i p v^{g_1+i}[\alpha_k - (i+1), kg_1] + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{t=1}^{kg_1} z_{t,i} p v^{g_1+t+i}[\alpha_k - (i+1), kg_1 - t]$$

$$+u_1 p v^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+1} - 1, (k+1)g_1] + \cdots + u_{k'} p v^{k'p-1}[\alpha_{k+k'} - k', (k+1)g_1]$$

$$-\sum_{i=k'p}^{\alpha_k - 2} u_1 w_i p v^{g_1+i}[\alpha_k - (i+1), kg_1] + \sum_{i=k'p}^{\alpha_k-2}\sum_{t=1}^{kg_1} w_i z_{t,i} p v^{g_1+t+i}[\alpha_k - (i+1), kg_1 - t].$$

Here k' *is such that* $k'p \leq \alpha_k - 1 < (k'+1)p$.

Corollary 6.4 *The element* $\partial([g_1-1, g_1+g_2])$ *is equal to:*

$$-\sum_{i=0}^{p-3} u_1 w_i p v^{g_1+i}[g_1-(i+1), g_2] + \sum_{i=0}^{p-3}\sum_{k=1}^{p-2} u_1 w_i q_k p v^{g_1+i+k}[g_1-(i+1), g_2-k]$$

$$+\sum_{i=0}^{p-3}\sum_{k=0}^{pg_1} z_{k,i} p v^{2g_1+i+k}[g_1-(i+1), pg_1-k] + \sum_{i=0}^{p-3}\sum_{k=0}^{p-2} w_i q_k v^{g_2+i+k}[g_1-(i+1), g_1-k].$$

The following results are focused to prove that the element $[(p+2)g_1-1, g_1]$ is a permanent cycle. The proofs are extensive but easy to follow. We give extended formulas for the sake of readableness. Most of the formulas look not friendly, but as we will see, many terms can be ignored since they are image under ∂ of "*low*" filtration terms.

Corollary 6.5 *We have that* $\sum_{k=0}^{p+1}\partial([(\alpha_n-1, (k+1)g_1])$ *is equal to:*

$$\sum_{i=2}^{g_1}\sum_{k=0}^{p-i+1} u_i p v^{ip-1}[\alpha_{k+i}-i, (k+1)g_1] + \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j + B_j + A + B + C + D + v^{g_2}[g_1-1, g_1].$$

Here A_1 *is given by:*

$$A_1 = -\sum_{k=1}^{p}\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1 w_i p v^{g_1+i}[\alpha_k-(i+1), kg_1],$$

and for $2 \leq n \leq p$ *we have:*

$$A_n = -\sum_{k=1}^{p+2-n}\sum_{i=(n-1)p}^{np-2} u_1 w_i p v^{g_1+i}[\alpha_k-(i+1), kg_1].$$

The sum B_1 *is given by:*

$$B_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{p}\sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{t=1}^{kg_1-1} z_t w_i p v^{g_1+i+t}[\alpha_k-(i+1), kg_1-t],$$

and for $2 \leq n \leq p$ *we have that* B_n *is equal to:*

$$B_n = \sum_{k=1}^{p+2-n}\sum_{i=(n-1)p}^{np-2}\sum_{t=1}^{kg_1-1} z_t w_i p v^{g_1+i+t}[\alpha_k-(i+1), kg_1-t].$$

The sums A, B, C and D are given by:

$$A = -\sum_{i=1}^{p-3} u_1 w_i p v^{g_1+i}[g_1-(i+1), g_2].$$

$$B = \sum_{i=0}^{p-3}\sum_{t=1}^{p-2} u_1 w_i q_t p v^{g_1+i+t}[g_1-(i+1), g_2-t].$$

$$C = \sum_{i=0}^{p-3}\sum_{t=0}^{p-2} w_i q_t v^{g_2+i+t}[g_1-(i+1), g_1-t].$$

$$D = \sum_{k=0}^{p-3}\sum_{t=0}^{pg_1-1} c_t w_k p v^{2g_1+t+k}[g_1-(k+1), pg_1-t].$$

Here the elements $c_t \in ku_*$. (They are not necessarily the same in different sums).

Proof: For $1 \le k \le p$ the element $\partial([a_k-1,(k+1)g_1])$ is given by:

$$-\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} u_1 w_i p v^{g_1+i}[\alpha_k-(i+1), kg_1] + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{t=1}^{kg_1} z_{t,i} p v^{g_1+t+i}[\alpha_k-(i+1), kg_1-t]$$

$$+u_1 p v^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+1}-1,(k+1)g_1] + \cdots + u_{k'} p v^{k'p-1}[\alpha_{k+k'}-k',(k+1)g_1]$$

$$-\sum_{i=k'p}^{\alpha_k-2} u_1 w_i p v^{g_1+i}[\alpha_k-(i+1), kg_1] + \sum_{i=k'p}^{\alpha_k-2}\sum_{t=1}^{kg_1} w_i z_{t,i} p v^{g_1+t+i}[\alpha_k-(i+1), kg_1-t].$$

The first term of this expression is $-u_1 p v^{g_1}[\alpha_k-1,(k+1)g_1]$. On the other hand the first term of the second row is given by $u_1 p v^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+1}g_1-1, kg_1]$. When we take the sum over k this terms are canceled, since we have that the first term in the formula of Corollary 6.4 is $-u_1 p v^{g_1}[g_1-1, g_2]$, and by Lemma 5.1 the term $\partial([\alpha_0-1, g_1])$ is equal to:

$$u_1 p v^{g_1}[g_2-1, g_1] + \sum_{i=2}^{p-1} u_i v^{ip-1}[p^2-(i-1)p-2, g_1] + v^{g_2}[g_1-1, g_1],$$

and the Corollary follows. $\square$

Now we are going to prove that the elements in the formula of the previous Corollary that are divisible by pv^{g_1} and have "*low filtration*" are in $\operatorname{Im}\partial$. We will be careful with the filtration of the elements we are using to replace those elements. We want to obtain:

$$\partial([(p+2)g_1-1, g_1] + low) = v^{g_2}[g_1-1, g_1] + A_1 + B_1 + A + B + C. \tag{11}$$

For to do this, we will use the following result.

Lemma 6.6 *For $0 \leq k \leq p-1$ we have, up to units:*

$$\partial([\alpha_{k+2},(k+2)g_1]+low) = pv^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+2},(k+1)g_1].$$

Proof: We will proceed by reverse induction in k. For $k = p-1$ we prove that $pv^{g_1}[g_1,b] \in \operatorname{Im}\partial$ for $1 \leq b \leq pg_1$. Indeed, for $b=1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial([g_1,p]) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} w_i p^2 v^i [g_1-i,p] \\ &= -\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} w_i u_1 p v^{g_1+i}[g_1-i,1].\end{aligned}$$

We will verify that, up to units, $\partial([t,p]+low) = pv^{g_1}[t,1]$ for $1 \leq t \leq g_1-1$. We have proved in Proposition 6.1 that $\partial([1,p]) = pv^{g_1}[1,1]$. We suppose that the assertion has been proved for $1 \leq t < g_1-1$, therefore for $t+1$ we have:

$$\partial([t+1,p]) = -\sum_{i=0}^{t} w_i u_1 p v^{g_1+i}[t-i+1,1],$$

by the inductive hypothesis the assertion follows. This proves that $\partial([g_1,p]+low) = pv^{g_1}[g_1,1]$.

Now suppose we have proved that $\partial([g_1,b+g_1]+low) = pv^{g_1}[g_1,b]$ for $1 \leq b < pg_1$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial([g_1,b+g_1+1]) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{t=0}^{p-2} w_i u_1 q_t p v^{g_1+i+t}[g_1-i,b+1-t] \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{t=0}^{b-g_1} w_i z_t p v^{2g_1+t}[g_1-i,b-(g_1+t)+1].\end{aligned}$$

By the inductive hypothesis we have that:

$$\partial([g_1,b+g_1+1]+low) = -\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} w_i u_1 p v^{g_1+i}[g_1-i,b+1].$$

Applying a Smith morphism to this expression we obtain:

$$\partial([1,b+g_1+1]+low) = -u_1 p v^{g_1}[1,b+1].$$

Now is clear that an easy inductive argument proves that $\partial([t,b+g_1+1]+low) = pv^{g_1}[t,b+1]$ for $1 \leq t \leq g_1-1$. This implies that

$$\partial([\alpha_{p+1},g_2]+low) = pv^{g_1}[\alpha_{p+1},pg_1].$$

Now suppose that for $0 < k \leq p-1$ we have proved:

$$\partial([\alpha_{k+2}, (k+2)g_1] + low) = pv^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+2}, (k+1)g_1]. \tag{12}$$

For $k-1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial([\alpha_{k+1}, (k+1)g_1]) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} w_i p^2 v^i [\alpha_{k+1}, (k+1)g_1] \\ &+ u_1 pv^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+2}, (k+1)g_1] + \sum_{i=p}^{\alpha_{k+1}} c_i pv^i [\alpha_{k+1} - i, (k+1)g_1].\end{aligned}$$

Since for $p \leq i \leq \alpha_{k+1}$ we have $\alpha_{k+2} < \alpha_{k+1} - i$, the inductive hypothesis gives:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial([\alpha_{k+1}, (k+1)g_1] + low) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} w_i p^2 v^i [\alpha_{k+1} - i, (k+1)g_1] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{t=0}^{p-2} w_i u_1 q_t pv^{g_1+i+t}[\alpha_{k+1} - i, kg_1 - t] \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{t=0}^{(k-1)g_1} w_i z_t pv^{2g_1+i+t}[\alpha_{k+1} - i, (k-1)g_1 - t].\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $1 \leq i \leq p-2$, it can be proved by reverse induction that:

$$\partial([\alpha_{k+1} - i, (k+1)g_1] + low) = pv^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+1} - i, kg_1].$$

For $i = p-2$ we have by (12):

$$\begin{aligned}\partial([\alpha_{k+2} + 1, (k+1)g_1] + low) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} w_i p^2 v^i [\alpha_{k+2} - i + 1, (k+1)g_1] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{t=0}^{p-2} u_1 w_i q_t pv^{g_1+i+t}[\alpha_{k+2} - i + 1, kg_1 - t] \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{t=0}^{(k-1)g_1} w_i z_t pv^{2g_1+i+t}[\alpha_{k+2} - i + 1, (k-1)g_1 - t].\end{aligned}$$

Applying the inductive hypothesis we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial([\alpha_{k+2} + 1, (k+1)g_1] + low) &= \sum_{t=0}^{p-2} u_1 q_t pv^{g_1+t}[\alpha_{k+2} + 1, kg_1 - t] \\ &+ \sum_{t=0}^{(k-1)g_1} z_t pv^{2g_1+t}[\alpha_{k+2} + 1, (k-1)g_1 - t].\end{aligned}$$

We can prove inductively for $1 \leq t \leq kg_1$ that:

$$\partial([\alpha_{k+2}+1, t+g_1] + low) = pv^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+2}+1, t].$$

We will only prove the case $t = 1$ since in this point the inductive process is clear. We have by induction:

$$\partial([\alpha_{k+2}+1, p] + low) = -\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} w_i u_1 p v^{g_1+i}[\alpha_{k+2} - i + 1, 1],$$

and by (12):

$$\partial([\alpha_{k+2}+1, p] + low) = -u_1 p v^{g_1}[(p-k)g_1 - 1, 1].$$

Therefore we have that

$$\partial([\alpha_{k+2}+1, (k+1)g_1] + low) = pv^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+2}+1, kg_1].$$

Following the same ideas used until here, it is easily proved that for $1 \leq i < p-2$:

$$\partial([\alpha_{k+1} - i, (k+1)g_1] + low) = pv^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+1} - i, kg_1].$$

Therefore we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial([\alpha_{k+1}, (k+1)g_1] + low) &= \sum_{t=0}^{p-2} u_1 q_t p v^{g_1+t}[\alpha_{k+1}, kg_1 - t] \\ &+ \sum_{t=0}^{(k-1)g_1} z_t p v^{2g_1+t}[\alpha_{k+1}, (k-1)g_1 - t].\end{aligned}$$

Applying a Smith morphism to the previous expression we have:

$$\partial([\alpha_{k+1}, p] + low) = -u_1 p v^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+1}, 1],$$

and now an easy inductive argument proves that:

$$\partial([\alpha_{k+1}, (k+1)g_1] + low) = -u_1 p v^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+1}, kg_1],$$

and the Lemma follows. □

Remark 6.7 Note that by the previous Lemma the sum:

$$\sum_{i=2}^{g_1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-i+1} u_i p v^{ip-1}[\alpha_{k+i} - i, (k+1)g_1]$$

from the Corollary 6.5 can be ignored. Indeed, all the terms involved in this sum are Smith morphism images of elements of the form $pv^{g_1}[\alpha_{k+2}, (k+1)g_1]$ for some $0 \leq k \leq p-1$. Similar arguments can be used for the sums A_n, B_n for $n > 2$ and for the sum D. Note that for the sum B_1 the terms corresponding to $t > p-2$ also can be treated with the previous Lemma, therefore, we can replace B_1 in (11) with:

$$B_1' = \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sum_{t=1}^{g_1-1} z_t w_i p v^{g_1+i+t}[\alpha_k - (i+1), kg_1 - t].$$

Until here we have proved that:

$$\partial([\alpha_0 - 1, g_1] + \mathit{low}) = v^{g_2}[g_1 - 1, g_1] + A_1 + B_1' + A + B + C.$$

Lemma 6.8 *Let denote by $\Delta = v^{g_2}[g_1 - 1, g_1] + A + B + C$. We have that:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \quad & -\sum_{i=1}^{p-3} \partial(q_i v^i[g_1 - (i+1), g_2 + g_1]) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1 q_i p v^{g_1+i}[g_1 - 1, g_2 - i] + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} q_i v^{g_2+i}[g_1 - 1, g_1 - i] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{p-3} \sum_{k=0}^{p-i-3} \sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} c_t p v^{2g_1+t+i}[g_1 - (k+i+1), pg_1 - t].\end{aligned}$$

Proof: We assert that for $1 \leq n \leq p-4$ we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \quad - \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial(q_i v^i[g_1 - (i+1), g_2 + g_1]) \\ = \quad & -\sum_{i=n+1}^{p-3} u_1 q_i^{(n)} p v^{g_1+i}[g_1 - (i+1), g_2 + g_1] + S_1 + S_2 \\ & + \sum_{t=1}^{p-2} \sum_{i=n+1}^{p-3} u_1 q_t q_i^{(n)} p v^{g_1+t+i}[g_1 - (i+1), g_2 - t] \\ & + \sum_{t=0}^{p-2} \sum_{i=n+1}^{p-3} q_t q_i^{(n)} v^{g_2+t+i}[g_1 - (i+1), g_1 - t] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{p-i-3} \sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} c_t p v^{2g_1+t+k+i}[g_1 - (k+i+1), pg_1 - t].\end{aligned}$$

We will proceed by induction. Recall that $q_1 = w_1$, therefore for $n = 1$ we apply Corollary 6.4 (up to a Smith morphism) to obtain that $\Delta - \partial(q_1 v[g_1 - 2, g_2 + g_1])$ is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta + u_1 w_1 p v^p [g_1 - 2, g_2] \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{p-4} u_1 w_1 w_k p v^{g_1+i+k+1} [g_1 - (k+2), g_2] \\
&- \sum_{k=0}^{p-4} \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1 w_1 w_k q_i p v^{g_1+k+1} [g_1 - (k+2), g_2 - i] \qquad (13) \\
&- \sum_{k=0}^{p-4} \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} w_1 w_k q_i v^{g_2+i+k+1} [g_1 - (k+2), g_1 - i] \\
&- \sum_{k=0}^{p-4} \sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} c_t p v^{2g_1+t+k+1} [g_1 - (k+2), pg_1 - t]
\end{aligned}$$

We will denote by A', B' and C' the second, third and fourth rows of this sum, that is:

$$A' = \sum_{k=1}^{p-4} u_1 w_1 w_k p v^{g_1+k+1} [g_1 - (k+2), g_2],$$

and so on. Now note that the first summand of A (when $i = 1$) is canceled with the term $u_1 w_1 p v^p [g_1 - 2, g_2]$ of (13), therefore we will omit it in the next calculation. Adding A with A' we have:

$$A + A' = \sum_{k=2}^{p-3} u_1 (w_1 w_{k-1} - w_k) p v^{g_1+k} [g_1 - (k+1), g_2].$$

The sum $S_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1 q_i p v^{g_1+i} [g_1 - 1, g_2 - i]$ is the term of B when $k = 0$ since $w_0 = 1$; the term of B when $k = 1$ is given by:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1 w_1 q_i p v^{g_1+i+1} [g_1 - 2, g_2 - i].$$

On the other hand, the summand of B' when $k = 0$ is equal to:

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1 w_1 q_i p v^{g_1+i+1} [g_1 - 2, g_2 - i],$$

therefore:

$$B + B' = S_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{p-3}\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1(w_k - w_1 w_{k-1}) q_i p v^{g_1+i+k}[g_1 - (k+1), g_2 - i].$$

We have that $S_2 = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{p-2} q_i v^{g_2+i}[g_1 - 1, g_1 - i]$ is the summand of C when $k = 0$ (note that the term corresponding to $i = 0$ has been canceled with $v^{g_2}[g_1 - 1, g_1]$). It is easily seen that the summand of C for $k = 1$ is canceled with the summand of C' for $k = 0$. Therefore we have that:

$$C + C' = S_2 + \sum_{k=2}^{p-3}\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} (w_k - w_1 w_{k-1}) q_i v^{g_2+i+k}[g_1 - (k+1), g_1 - i].$$

But by definition $q_k^{(1)} = w_k - w_1 w_{k-1}$. This proves the assertion for $n = 1$. Suppose it has been proved for $1 \leq n < p - 4$. Therefore $\Delta - \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n+1} \partial(q_k v^k[g_1 - (k+1), g_2 + g_1])$ is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \sum_{k=n+1}^{p-3} u_1 q_k^{(n)} p v^{g_1+k}[g_1 - (k+1), g_2] + S_1 + S_2 \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{p-2}\sum_{k=n+1}^{p-3} u_1 q_k^{(n)} q_i p v^{g_1+i+k}[g_1 - (k+1), g_2 - i] \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sum_{k=n+1}^{p-3} q_k^{(n)} q_i v^{g_2+i+k}[g_1 - (k+1), g_1 - i] \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{i=0}^{p-k-3}\sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} c_t p v^{2g_1+t+i+k}[g_1 - (k+i+1), pg_1 - t] \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{p-n-4} u_1 w_i q_{n+1} p v^{g_1+i+n+1}[g_1 - (i+n+2), g_2] \\
&- \sum_{k=0}^{p-n-4}\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1 w_k q_{n+1} q_i p v^{g_1+i+k+n+1}[g_1 - (k+n+2), g_2 - i] \\
&- \sum_{k=0}^{p-n-4}\sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} c_t p v^{2g_1+t+k+n+1}[g_1 - (k+n+2), pg_1 - t] \\
&- \sum_{k=0}^{p-n-4}\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} w_k q_{n+1} q_i v^{g_2+i+k+n+1}[g_1 - (k+n+2), g_1 - i].
\end{aligned}$$

When we add the first row (without $S_1 + S_2$) with the fifth row we obtain:

$$\sum_{k=n+2}^{p-3} u_1(q_{n+1}w_{k-n-1} - q_k^{(n)})pv^{g_1+k}[g_1 - (k+1), g_2].$$

The sum of the second and the sixth rows is equal to:

$$\sum_{k=n+2}^{p-3}\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} u_1q_i(q_k^{(n)} - q_{n+1}w_{k-n-1})pv^{g_1+i+k}[g_1 - (k+1), g_2 - i].$$

Finally, adding the third and the last row we have:

$$\sum_{k=n+2}^{p-3}\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} q_i(q_k^{(n)} - q_{n+1}w_{k-n-1})v^{g_2+i+k}[g_1 - (k+1), g_2 - i].$$

Since by definition $q_k^{(n+1)} = q_k^{(n)} - q_{n+1}w_{k-n-1}$ the assertion is proved. The Lemma follows from Lemma 5.3 since $\partial(q_{p-3}v^{p-3}[1, g_2 + g_1]) = q_{p-3}p^2v^{p-3}[1, g_2 + g_1]$. □

Remark 6.9 Note that by the Lemma 6.6 we can ignore the sum:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-3}\sum_{k=0}^{p-i-3}\sum_{t=0}^{pg_1-1} c_tpv^{2g_1+t+i}[g_1 - (k+i+1), pg_1 - t].$$

in Lemma 6.8. Therefore until here we have proved that:

$$\partial([\alpha_0 - 1, g_1] + low) = S_1 + S_2 + A_1 + B_1'.$$

At this point the crux of the matter is to deal with $S_1 + S_2$, since we have to deal with elements that are not divisible by p. If we prove that this sum can be replaced by the image under *partial* of "*low filtration*" terms we are done. Indeed, a Smith morphism argument will take care of $A_1 + B_1'$.

Lemma 6.10 *For* $1 \le i \le p-2$ *we have that* $-\sum_{k=0}^{p-3}\partial(q_iq_kv^{i+k}[g_1-(k+1), g_2+g_1-i])$ *is equal to:*

$$-u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[g_1 - 1, g_2 - i] + \sum_{t=1}^{p-2} u_1q_tq_ipv^{g_1+t+i}[g_1 - 1, g_2 - (i+t)]$$

$$+\sum_{t=0}^{p-i-2} q_iq_tv^{g_2+t+i}[g_1 - 1, g_1 - (i+t)]$$

$$+\sum_{h=0}^{p-3}\sum_{k=0}^{p-h-3}\sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} z_tpv^{2g_1+t+k+i+h}[g_1 - (k+h+1), pg_1 - (i+t)].$$

Proof: We have that $\partial(q_iv^i[g_1-1,g_1+g_2-i])-\partial(w_1q_iv^{i+1}[g_1-2,g_1+g_2-i])$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[g_1-1,g_2-1]-\sum_{k=2}^{p-3}u_1q_iq_k^{(1)}pv^{g_1+i+k}[g_1-(k+1),g_2-i]+S_1'\\
&+\sum_{k=2}^{p-3}\sum_{t=1}^{p-2}u_1q_iq_k^{(1)}q_tpv^{g_1+k+t+i}[g_1-(k+1),g_2-(i+t)]+S_2'\\
&-\sum_{h=0}^{1}\sum_{k=0}^{p-3}\sum_{t=0}^{pg_1}z_tpv^{2g_1+t+k+i+h}[g_1-(k+h+1),pg_1-(i+t)]\\
&+\sum_{k=2}^{p-3}\sum_{t=0}^{p-i-2}q_iq_tq_k^{(1)}v^{g_2+t+k+i}[g_1-(k+1),g_1-(t+i)].
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$S_1'=\sum_{t=1}^{p-2}u_1q_tq_ipv^{g_1+t+i}[g_1-1,g_2-(i+t)],$$

and

$$S_2'=\sum_{t=0}^{p-i-2}q_iq_tv^{g_2+t+i}[g_1-1,g_1-(t+i)].$$

It is easily seen by induction, as in the proof of Lemma 6.8, that for $n<p-3$ we have that $-\sum_{k=0}^{n}\partial(q_iq_kv^{i+k}[g_1-(k+1),g_2+g_1-i])$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S_1'+S_2'-u_1q_ipv^{g_1+i}[g_1-1,g_2-i]\\
&-\sum_{k=n+1}^{p-3}u_1q_iq_k^{(n)}pv^{g_1+i+k}[g_1-(k+1),g_2-i])\\
&-\sum_{h=0}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{p-3-h}\sum_{t=0}^{pg_1}z_tpv^{2g_1+t+k+i+h}[g_1-(k+h+1),pg_1-(i+t)]\\
&+\sum_{k=n+1}^{p-3}\sum_{t=1}^{p-2}u_1q_iq_tq_k^{(n)}pv^{g_1+t+i+k}[g_1-(k+1),g_2-(t+i)]\\
&+\sum_{k=n+1}^{p-3}\sum_{t=0}^{p-i-2}q_iq_tq_k^{(n)}v^{g_2+t+k+i}[g_1-(k+1),g_1-(t+i)].
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the Lemma 5.3 to:

$$-\partial(q_i q_{p-3} v^{i+p-3}[1, g_2 + g_1 - i]) = q_i q_{p-3} p^2 v^{i+p-3}[1, g_2 + g_1 - i],$$

the result follows easily. □

Note that by the Lemma 6.6 we can ignore the sum

$$\sum_{h=0}^{p-3} \sum_{k=0}^{p-h-3} \sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} z_t p v^{2g_1+t+k+i+h}[g_1 - (k+h+1), pg_1 - (i+t)],$$

in the previous Lemma, so we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial([\alpha_0 - 1, g_1] + low) &= A_1 + B_1' + S_2 \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \sum_{t=1}^{p-2} u_1 q_t q_i p v^{g_1+t+i}[g_1 - 1, g_2 - (i+t)] \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \sum_{t=0}^{p-i-2} q_i q_t v^{g_2+t+i}[g_1 - 1, g_1 - (i+t)]
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

On the other hand, when we consider the last row of the previous expression, the term corresponding to $t = 0$ is given by $-S_2$, since $q_0 = -1$, therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial([\alpha_0 - 1, g_1] + low) &= A_1 + B_1' \\
&+ \sum_{i_0=1}^{p-2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{p-2-i_0} u_1 q_{i_0} q_{i_1} p v^{g_1+i_0+i_1}[g_1 - 1, g_2 - (i_0+i_1)] \\
&+ \sum_{i_0=1}^{p-3} \sum_{i_1=1}^{p-i_0-2} q_{i_0} q_{i_1} v^{g_2+i_0+i_1}[g_1 - 1, g_1 - (i_0+i_1)]
\end{aligned}$$

Now we want to deal with the second and the third rows of the last expression. Using a Smith morphism argument the following result is a consequence of Lemma 6.10.

Lemma 6.11 *For $1 \leq i_0 \leq p-2$ and $1 \leq i_1 \leq p-2-i_0$ we have that:*

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{p-3} \partial(q_{i_0} q_{i_1} q_k v^{i_0+i_1+k}[g_1 - (k+1), g_2 + g_1 - (i_0+i_1)])$$

is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-u_1 q_{i_0} q_{i_1} p v^{g_1+i_0+i_1}[g_1-1, g_2-(i_0+i_1)] \\
&+\sum_{t=1}^{p-2} u_1 q_t q_{i_0} q_{i_1} p v^{g_1+i_0+i_1+t}[g_1-1, g_2-(i_0+i_1+t)] \\
&+\sum_{t=0}^{p-(i_0+i_1)-2} q_t q_{i_0} q_{i_1} v^{g_2+i_0+i_1+t}[g_1-1, g_1-(i_0+i_1+t)] \\
&+\sum_{h=0}^{p-3} \sum_{k=0}^{p-h-3} \sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} z_t p v^{2g_1+t+k+i_0+i_1+h}[g_1-(k+h+1), pg_1-(i_0+i_1+t)].
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding the elements of the previous Lemma to the formula (14) we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial([\alpha_0-1, g_1]+low) &= A_1+B_1' \\
&+\sum_{i_0=1}^{p-2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{p-2-i_0} \sum_{i_2=1}^{p-i_0-i_1-2} u_1 q_{i_0} q_{i_1} q_{i_2} p v^{g_1+i_0+i_1}[g_1-1, g_2-(i_0+i_1)] \\
&+\sum_{i_0=1}^{p-4} \sum_{i_1=1}^{p-i_0-3} \sum_{i_1=1}^{p-i_0-i_1-2} q_{i_0} q_{i_1} q_{i_2} v^{g_2+i_0+i_1+i_2}[g_1-1, g_1-(i_0+i_1+i_2)].
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can use an inductive argument to obtain that $\partial([\alpha_0-1, g_1]+low)$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&A_1+B_1'+\sum_{i_0=1}^{p-2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{p-i_0-2} \cdots \sum_{i_{n+1}=1}^{p-I_n-2} u_1 q_{I_{n+1}} p v^{g_1+I_{n+1}}[g_1-1, g_2-I_{n+1}] \\
&+\sum_{i_0=1}^{p-(n+3)} \sum_{i_1=1}^{p-i_0-(n+2)} \cdots \sum_{i_{n+1}=1}^{p-I_n-2} q_{I_{n+1}} v^{g_2+I_{n+1}}[g_1-1, g_1-I_{n+1}].
\end{aligned}
\tag{15}
$$

Here $I_j=\sum_{k=0}^{j} i_k$ and $q_{I_j}=\prod_{k=0}^{j} q_{i_k}$.

When $n+1=p-3$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial([\alpha_0-1, g_1]+low) &= A_1+B_1' \\
&+\sum_{i_0=1}^{p-2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{p-i_0-2} \cdots \sum_{i_{p-3}=1}^{p-I_{p-4}-2} u_1 q_{I_{p-3}} p v^{g_1+I_{p-3}}[g_1-1, g_2-I_{p-3}] \\
&+q_{I_{p-3}} v^{g_2+I_{p-3}}[g_1-1, g_1-I_{p-3}].
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the upper limit of the j-th sum of the last row in (15) is given by

$$p - I_{j-1} - (n+3-j)$$

and $I_{j-1} \geq j$, we have $p - I_{j-1} - (n+3-j) \leq 1$ when $n+1 = p-3$.

Now we consider:

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{p-3} \partial(q_{I_{p-3}} q_k v^{I_{p-3}+k}[g_1 - (k+1), g_2 + g_1 - I_{p-3}])$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-u_1 q_{I_{p-3}} p v^{g_1+I_{p-3}}[g_1 - 1, g_2 - I_{p-3}] \\
&+\sum_{t=1}^{p-2} u_1 q_t q_{I_{p-3}} p v^{g_1+I_{p-3}+t}[g_1 - 1, g_2 - I_{p-3} + t)] \\
&+\sum_{t=0}^{p-I_{p-3}-2} q_t q_{I_{p-3}} v^{g_2+I_{p-3}+t}[g_1 - 1, g_1 - I_{p-3} - t)] \\
&+\sum_{h=0}^{p-3} \sum_{k=0}^{p-h-3} \sum_{t=0}^{pg_1} z_t p v^{2g_1+t+k+h+I_{p-3}}[g_1 - (k+h+1), pg_1 - I_{p-3} - t].
\end{aligned}$$

Here $I_{p-3} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-3} i_k$ with $1 \leq i_0 \leq p-2$ and $1 \leq i_k \leq p - I_{k-1} - 2$. Note that the sum in the third row of the previous expression only has one term:

$$q_{I_{p-3}} q_0 v^{g_2+I_{p-3}}[g_1 - 1, 1] = -q_{I_{p-3}} v^{g_2+I_{p-3}}[g_1 - 1, 1].$$

Therefore we have proved that $\partial([\alpha_0 - 1, g_1] + \mathit{low})$ is equal to:

$$A_1 + B_1' + \sum_{i_0=1}^{p-2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{p-i_0-2} \cdots \sum_{i_{p-2}=1}^{p-I_{p-3}-2} u_1 q_{I_{p-2}} p v^{g_1+I_{p-2}}[g_1 - 1, g_2 - I_{p-2}].$$

Since $I_{p-2} \geq g_1$ we have that $g_2 - I_{p-2} \leq pg_1$ and by Lemma 6.6 we obtain:

$$\partial([\alpha_0 - 1, g_1] + \mathit{low}) = A_1 + B_1'.$$

Applying a Smith morphism to the previous formula plus an inductive argument it is easily verified that each term in the sum $A_1 + B_1'$ is image under ∂ of a low filtration term. This proves that $[(p+2)g_1 - 1, g_1]$ is a permanent cycle.

7 The ku-homology of the groups.

In this section we apply the calculations of the previous sections to identify a set of generators of the annihilator ideals of the toral classes in $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ and $ku_*(\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$.

Theorem 7.1 *In the spectral sequence of section 4 with $t = 2 = n$ there exist only two families of differentials and they are given by:*

$$[i,j] \xrightarrow{d_{\mu_1}} pv^{g_1}[i, j - g_1].$$

$$p[i,j] \xrightarrow{d_{\mu_2}} v^{g_1+g_2}[i - g_1, j - g_2].$$

Here $\mu_1 = g_2$ and $\mu_2 = g_1(p^2 + 1) + g_2(p - 1)$.

Theorem 7.2 *The annihilator ideal of the ku_*-toral class in $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ is generated by:*

$$(p^2, pv^{g_1}, v^{g_1+g_2}).$$

Theorem 7.3 *The group $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ is given (up to extensions) by $E_0 \oplus E_1$, where:*

$$E_0 = \bigoplus_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ j \geq 1}} ku_* \,/(p^2, pv^{g_1}, v^{g_1+g_2})\langle [i,j] \rangle$$

and

$$E_1 = \bigoplus_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ g_1 \geq j \geq 1}} ku_* \,/(p^2)\langle [i,j] \rangle$$

$$\oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i \geq g_1 \\ j \leq g_2}} ku_* \,/(p^2)\langle p[i,j] \rangle$$

$$\oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq g_1 \\ g_1 \leq j}} ku_* \,/(p^2)\langle p[i,j] \rangle.$$

It is not difficult to verify that when we consider the spectral sequence of section 4 with $n = 1$ and $t > 1$ we obtain:

Theorem 7.4 *In the spectral sequence there exists only one family of differentials and it is given by:*

$$[i,j] \longrightarrow v^{tg_1}[i, j - tg_1].$$

Theorem 7.5 *The annihilator of the ku_*-toral class in $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is generated by:*

$$(p, v^{tg_1}).$$

Theorem 7.6 *The group $ku_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^t} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is given (up to extensions) by $E_0 \oplus E_1$, where*

$$E_0 = \bigoplus_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ j \geq 1}} ku_* \,/(p, v^{tg_1})\langle [i, j] \rangle$$

and

$$E_1 = \bigoplus_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ tg_1 \geq j \geq 1}} ku_* \,/(p)\langle [i, j] \rangle$$

Theorem 7.7 [1] *The BP_*-annihilator ideals of the BP-toral classes in the groups $BP_*(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ and $BP_*(\mathbb{Z}_p \wedge \mathbb{Z}_{p^t})$ are generated by:*

$$(p^2, pv_1, v_1^{p+2}) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad (p, v_1^t),$$

respectively.

Corollary 7.8 *For the p-groups $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$ the ku-homology contains all the complex bordism information.*

References

[1] G. Nakos: On the Brown-Peterson homology of certain classifying spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, The Johns Hopkins University, 1985.

[2] L. Zárate: On the $BP\langle n \rangle_*$-homomology of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^e} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^e}$, Ph.D. Thesis, CINVESTAV - IPN, 2007.

[3] L. Zárate: *On the BP-homomology of* $\mathbb{Z}_{2^e} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^e}$, Journal of K-theory: K-theory and its Applications to Algebra, Geometry, and Topology, Volume 3, Issue 03, (June 2009) 409-435.

Leticia Zárate
CEFyMAP - Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas.
4a. Oriente Norte No. 1428. Entre 13a. y 14a. Norte.
Col. Barrio La Pimienta
Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas. C.P. 29000
México
e-mail: `leticia@math.cinvestav.mx`